

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/119,626	07/21/1998	MASASHI GOTOH	0083-0865-2	1291	
22850	7590 07/02/2002				
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC			EXAMINER		
FOURTH FL 1755 JEFFER	OOR SON DAVIS HIGHWA	CUNEO, KAMAND			
ARLINGTON	N, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827		
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No	Applicant(c)		
·	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	9626		O 4	
•	LAGITITIE	CHAIC	t	Group Art Unit 2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the co	over sheet b	eneath the c	orrespondence ad	dress
Period for Reply		_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE_	5_	MONTH(S	6) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star 	reply within the s	statutory minim	um of thirty (30)	days will be considere	d timely.
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/12/0	2				
This action is FINAL.					•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	ot for formal m 35 C.D. 1 1; 4	atters, prose 53 O.G. 213	ecution as to	the merits is clos	ed in
Disposition of Claims					
Ø Claim(s)			is/are	pending in the appli	cation.
Of the above claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration		
Mar. 10-	is/are allowed.				
(A) Claim(s) 19-18			is/are	allowed.	
Claim(s) /9-26			is/are	allowed. rejected.	
Claim(s) 16 - 26 Of the above claim(s) 16 - 18 Claim(s) 19 - 26 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)			is/are is/are is/are	allowed. rejected. objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			is/are	objected to.	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)			is/are	objected to. bject to restriction o	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			is/are	objected to. bject to restriction o	
☐ Claim(s)	ng Review, PT	⁻ O-948.	is/are dis/are dis- are sul require	objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ng Review, PT is □	O-948.	is/are dis/are dis- are sul require	objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	
☐ Claim(s)	ng Review, PT is □	O-948.	is/are dis/are dis- are sul require	objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawii ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ng Review, PT is □	O-948.	is/are dis/are dis- are sul require	objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	
☐ Claim(s)	ng Review, PT is □	O-948.	is/are dis/are dis- are sul require	objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	
Claim(s) Cla	ng Review, PT is □ cted to by the	O-948. approved [Examiner.	is/are (is/	objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ng Review, PT is cted to by the	O-948. approved [Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(a)-(a)-couments have	is/are disapprove	objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ng Review, PT is cted to by the inder 35 U.S.C	O-948. approved [Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(a)	is/are disare disare disapprove	objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interval in the stage of the content of the code in the Interval I	ng Review, PT is cted to by the inder 35 U.S.C the priority do per) ernational Bur	O-948. approved [Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(a) comments have	is/are elements is/are element	objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declarati	ng Review, PT is cted to by the inder 35 U.S.C the priority do per) ernational Bur	O-948. approved [Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(a) comments have	is/are elements is/are element	objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Criority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. I received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interaction (s)	ng Review, PT is cted to by the inder 35 U.S.C i the priority do per) rernational Bur	O-948. approved [Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-	is/are disapproved	objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb ☐ received in this national stage application from the Interval of Int	ng Review, PT is cted to by the inder 35 U.S.C i the priority do per) rernational Bur	O-948. approved [Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(a) comments have	is/are disapproved	objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	r election

Serial Number: 09/119626 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Treatment of Claims Based on Language

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not set forth what elements compose the "means for evenly distributing ultrasonic energy." Is the means the conductive pattern with the groove? If so, which grooves? Are all of the grooves required? Does the means include the notch not extending into the board main body? etc. Due to the great deal of uncertainty regarding the means, art cannot be applied to these claims. Nevertheless, applicant is encourage to review the rejection for claim 19, which includes grooves in the conductive pattern, and the reasons for allowance for claim 16, which includes the further refinement of the grooves not extending in the board main body, for guidance on the teaching of the prior art.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Serial Number: 09/119626 3

Art Unit: 2841

4. Claims 22-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

See the section above. Due to the uncertainty in the means language, the scope of the claim is indefinite.

Treatment of Claims Based on Prior Art

- 5. 35 USC 103(a) states:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Obviousness under 35 USC 103(a) is determined against a background established by the factual inquires set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), which are summarized in items 1-4 below.

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 USC 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 USC 103© and potential 35 USC 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Serial Number: 09/119626 4

Art Unit: 2841

7. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's figure

11 and Mims (US 3893223).

Figure 11 of the present application disclose all of the elements of claims except for two

grooves proximate a bonding areas to place the area therebetween. Since applicant has elected

species a1, the grooves are interpreted to mean isolated notches or recesses.

Mims teaches to place grooves (with the conductive material all the way removed) on either

side of a bonding area where a row of bonding areas is being ultrasonically attached. Therefore, it

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to

place grooves between the bonding areas of figure 11, as taught by Mims, to prevent "breaking an

adjacent and previously made weld," Mims at column 2, line 54. Mims also teaches that the grooves

should extend perpendicular to the direction of the vibration. Also note that the grooves of Mims do

not isolate the metal pattern.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 16-18 are allowed. While there is a teaching to place the grooves in the conductive

pattern to prevent breakage of adjacent bonds, the prior art does not teach this configuration to the

specificity described in claim 16 which is the grooves extending in the conductor, but not in the board

main body in the configuration combined with the other claim elements.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Serial Number: 09/119626

Art Unit: 2841

Conclusion

5

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Closing

11. Any inquiries related to the examination of this application should be directed to Ex. K.

Cuneo at (703) 308-1233 or her supervisor Ex. J Gaffin at (703) 308-3301. Inquiries of a general

nature should be directed to the receptionist of Group 2800 at (703) 308-0956. The fax numbers for

Group 2800 are (703) 305-7722 and 7724.

Cuneo

Primary Examiner Group 2841

June 30, 2002